

## Appendix C

Eligible proposals considered and not short-listed by the panel:

Proposal	Panel View
Change national planning policy to introduce a requirement for 20% of the total of all public space in the city to be used for community food growing	Proposal was considered too prescriptive and that it should be at local discretion where and how best to promote food growing in an area in order to manage competing demands for space.
That councils be given the power to intervene on private property that is in disrepair	Councils have sufficient power and providing further powers would be excessive.
That councils identify and use private land that has not been used for five or more years and has no realistic prospect of being used for another five years or more; to establish a temporary village community of accommodation built from recycled materials; and of allotment gardens; together with green power production, market places and community centres. For the benefit of city residents who are (for economic reasons) confined to basic accommodation that they cannot improve, and without access to a garden or allotment to produce their own food.	Support for the idea of making better use of under-used land however panel was disconcerted with the notion of temporary communities and felt this would not contribute to social sustainability. In addition, the panel felt that this proposal was not as applicable to Brighton and hove compared with other areas due to the shortage of land in the city.
That the council has the power to reduce the traffic speed on St. James street to 4 mph	Support the principle of having the power to set local speed limit below the national minimum speed limit (see submission above) but inappropriate for the panel to determine appropriateness of 4 mph speed limit for St. James street. This requires wider consultation and technical assessment beyond the scope of the panel.

